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The European Arrest Warrant

Council Framework Decision of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States (2002/584/JHA)

- Lengthy Political and judicial process replaced by a judicial system of quasi-automatic surrender based on the principle of mutual recognition
- Requires courts in receiving states to recognise decisions of courts in requesting states
- Effective January 2004
Content of the EAW

• Aimed at serious crime
  – At least 12 month maximum sentence
  – Or sentence already passed of at least 4 months
• Dual criminality
• Or one of 32 offences, at least 3 years sentence, including:
  - Rape
  - Murder & GBH
  - Trafficking
  - Racism & Xenophobia
  - Forgery
  - Arson
  - Crimes under ICC
  - Hijacking
  - Sabotage
  - Computer related crime
  - Money laundering
  - Corruption
  - Participation in criminal organisation
  - Environmental crime
Academic Literature on the EAW
Academic Literature on the EAW

- Academic literature mostly focuses on the role of the law, judges and prosecutors.
  - Managing diverse legal systems
    - Decision to prosecute
  - Mutual trust
    - Proportionality
    - Prison conditions
  - Varying degrees of human rights protection
    - Roadmap on procedural rights
  - Sovereignty paradox
    - Extends the reach of the criminal law outside the boundaries of the state
    - Obliges courts in receiving states to give effect to decisions of foreign courts
Why focus on police?

• Police role is largely absent from the literature and the framework decision
• The system must start and end with the police
• Issues around sovereignty and mutual trust might look very different from the perspective of the police
• The EAW provides a unique lens through which to view a sliver of the diverse and complex field of transnational policing
• Opportunity to contribute to two bodies of research
  – The European Arrest Warrant literature
  – The Transnational Policing literature
### Existing literature and possible research questions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing literature</th>
<th>Research questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absence of police role from literature.</td>
<td>What role do the police play?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-border policing is low visibility and accountability mechanisms are under-developed.</td>
<td>Has the formal structure of the EAW led to the development better opportunities for oversight?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low visibility of transnational policing networks makes them difficult to study and describe.</td>
<td>Can the lens of the EAW help to build a clear picture of some of the networks involved?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The problem of mutual trust / Notion of shared police culture.</td>
<td>Does mutual trust between policing actors rest on different foundations than trust between judicial authorities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National practices differ considerably in terms of numbers of warrants.</td>
<td>What explains these differences? Do police practices play a role?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sovereignty paradox.</td>
<td>How do policing actors understand the effect that the EAW has on their powers?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Designing the Project
The original research questions

• What is the role of policing actors in the EAW system?
• What processes do policing actors go through when arrest warrants are issued and executed?
• What modes of communication do policing actors use when issuing and executing arrest warrants? What organisations, systems and networks are involved?
• What is the supporting role of formal methods of cross-border cooperation in the EAW system?
• When and why do policing actors utilise formal methods of cross-border cooperation, including the EAW itself?
• Explore, describe and explain different national practices of policing actors within the EAW system.
Choosing Participants

• Examining the UK system it is immediately apparent that police agencies operate at the start, end and center of the process.

• Enquiries began with SIRENE Bureau at the NCA. Central Authority for England and Wales.

• Snowball Sampling approach – each participant is asked to identify other possible participants.

• This approach ensures wide coverage and contributes to building a picture of the networks involved.
Case Study Design

The General Case
POLICE PRACTICE RELATING TO THE EUROPEAN ARREST WARRANT IN THE UK

CONTEXT

Embedded Case 1
TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION WITH POLAND

CONTEXT

Embedded Case 2
TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION WITH SPAIN

CONTEXT

Embedded Case 3
TRANSNATIONAL COOPERATION WITH IRELAND

Holis &c analysis

Comparative analysis
Choosing Jurisdictions for Comparison

- 5 years of EAW traffic to and from UK – 2011 to 2015.
- Shortlist of six countries appearing in top 10 of both lists
- Examined unique features of each system identified in existing literature and by basic internet research
- Selected 3 countries that will allow me to compare contextual issues which may explain differences in practice

- Ireland
- Spain
- Poland
Dividing up the UK
Methods

- Interviews
- Focus Groups
- Observations
- Statistical analysis
- Document analysis
The Pilot Study
Conducting the Pilot Study

- Study conducted in March 2016
- One Local Police force in the North of England
- Two taped interviews
  - One individual interview
  - One group interview with 3 officers
- Purpose:
  - To test interview protocols and equipment
  - To demonstrate feasibility of the study
  - To explore aims of the study and identify other areas of inquiry
Results of the Pilot Study

• Police are the start and end of the process – Local forces
• They are also the center of the process – The SIRENE Bureau
• Cross-border communication relating to the EAW is mostly formalised
• Urgency or complexity one reason for informal or direct cross-border communication
• The issues of diverse practice especially relating to proportionality do not seem to impact on a sense of shared culture
Unexpected Questions Raised

• The logistics of surrender
  – Effect of time limits?
  – Jurisdiction and source of power to detain?
  – Number of stakeholders?
• The effect of UK membership to SIS II
  – Increased efficiency?
  – Increased volume?
• The role of resources
  – Proportionality questions?
  – The relationship between risk and resources?
• Geographical challenges in the UK
  – Are these replicated elsewhere?
  – What can the UK learn from different national set-ups?
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