#### DECISION 38/2012/GB

#### OF THE GOVERNING BOARD OF THE EUROPEAN POLICE COLLEGE

# ESTABLISHING THE WORKING GROUP FOR THE CYBERCRIME ONLINE LEARNING MODULE

Adopted by the Governing Board on 13 November 2012 THE GOVERNING BOARD,

Having regard to Council Decision 2005/681/JHA of 20 September 2005 establishing the European Police College (CEPOL) and repealing Decision  $2000/820/JHA(^1)$ , and in particular Article 10(10) thereof;

Whereas:

In accordance with Council Decision 2005/681/JHA and Decision 13/2012/GB laying down the criteria and procedure for the creation and functioning of CEPOL Working Groups and repealing Decisions 10/2007 and 11/2007 of the CEPOL Governing Board, it is for the Governing Board to establish a working group,

HAS ADOPTED THIS DECISION:

#### Article 1

The Working Group for the Cybercrime Online Learning Module is hereby established in accordance with the Annex agreed by the Governing Board.

#### Article 2

This Decision shall take effect on the day following that of its adoption.

Done in Nicosia, 13 November 2012

For the Governing Board

Zacharias Chrysostomou Chair of the Governing Board

<sup>(&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) OJ L 256, 1.10.2005, p. 63.

#### Annex

| TITLE OF THE WORKING<br>GROUP  | Reference to the Working Group remit   |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Title:   | Cybercrime online learning module  |  |  |
| INITIATORS OF THE<br>WORKING GROUP                                   | Member State Institution   |  |  |
| Initiator 1:   | -  | European Police College  |  |
| Initiator 2:   | Cyprus   |  |  |
| Initiator 3:   | Greece   |  |  |
| Initiator 4:   | Hungary  |  |  |
| Initiator 5:   | Ireland  |  |  |
| Initiator 6:   | Italy  |  |  |
| Initiator 7:   | Latvia   |  |  |
| Initiator 8:   | Lithuania  |  |  |
| Initiator 9:   | Poland   |  |  |
| PURPOSE OF THE<br>WORKING GROUP<br>RATIONALE OF THE<br>WORKING GROUP | the development of the Cybercri<br>content for the operational Cybe<br>has been adopted by Governing<br>which the module has been proc<br>The new EU policy cycle (doc. 15<br>CRIMORG 185 ENFOCUSTOM<br>the 8 priority crime areas. The EI<br>identifies CEPOL's role for its St<br>Member States' and Union capac<br>prosecute cybercrime (17809/1/1<br>the need for training of police ar<br>module is aimed at raising awar<br>officers in general plus senior de<br>response officers in particular. It<br>practices and common state of p | Art I.II of the GB Decision<br>09/GB defines the initial need for<br>me online learning module. The<br>orcrime online learning module<br>Board Decision 19/2011/GB after<br>duced and published on the e-Net.<br>5358/10 COSI 69 ENFOPOL 298<br>94) identifies cybercrime as one of<br>MPACT AOP Cybercrime<br>rategic Goal 3: To enhance<br>cities to detect, investigate and<br>1 REV 1), which in itself identifies<br>and law enforcement officers. The<br>eness about cybercrime to police<br>ecision making officers and first<br>offers an overview of good<br>lay of cybercrime relevant for law<br>of the CEPOL training activities on<br>ale is an integral part of CEPOL<br>on cybercrime. Continuous |  |

|   | module's content to be reviewed and updated in order to allow it<br>to retain its relevance as a stand-alone module or as a<br>complementary resource in CEPOL trainings.<br>For the development of the content of the cybercrime online   |
|---|--|
|   | learning module, the input of content matter experts is required.<br>Such requirement has been identified by the Governing Board in<br>decision 27/2010/GB. The 13/2012/GB provides a legal ground for<br>working groups for development of module content.  |
| PROPOSED DURATION   | Expected duration in months with explanation on the length (rationale)   |
| OF THE WORKING  | The WG for the Cyber Crime module should have a standard   |
| GROUP   | duration of 6 months, and may be renewed for a year for further update.  |
| ESTIMATED NUMBER  | Estimation for the whole duration of the Working Group   |
| OF MEETING DAYS   | The WG members will meet twice, each for 4 days within a period  |
|   | of 3 months. Within the 6 months' timeframe of the WG activities,  |
|   | WG members will contribute to the module's content revision and<br>update through a dedicated project space with a contracted  |
|   | editor. Upon completion of revision and update of the content,   |
|   | the module will be produced with the CEPOL authoring tool and  |
|   | published on the e-Net.  |
| TENTATIVE BUDGET  | Budget estimation in Euros   |
|   | € 10,700   |
|   |  |
| SPECIFIC EXPERTISE OF<br>MEMBERS  | In relation to the purpose (remit) of the Working Group; a description of key required features and specific area of expertise required  |
|   | <ul><li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li><li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of</li></ul>  |
|   | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building,</li> </ul>   |
|   | <ul><li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li><li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of</li></ul>  |
|   | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from</li> </ul>  |
| MEMBERS   | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering</li> </ul>  |
| MEMBERS<br>NUMBER OF EXPERTS  | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering the areas identified in the module's knowledge landscape on the</li> </ul>  |
| MEMBERS<br>NUMBER OF EXPERTS  | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering the areas identified in the module's knowledge landscape on the one hand and facilitating an equal division of reviewing and</li> </ul>   |
| MEMBERS<br>NUMBER OF EXPERTS<br>REQUIRED  | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering the areas identified in the module's knowledge landscape on the one hand and facilitating an equal division of reviewing and updating of the module's content.</li> </ul>   |
| MEMBERS<br>NUMBER OF EXPERTS  | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering the areas identified in the module's knowledge landscape on the one hand and facilitating an equal division of reviewing and</li> </ul>   |
| MEMBERS<br>NUMBER OF EXPERTS<br>REQUIRED<br>LINK WITH CEPOL   | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering the areas identified in the module's knowledge landscape on the one hand and facilitating an equal division of reviewing and updating of the module's content.</li> <li><i>References to the CEPOL Strategic Goal(s) and Strategic Objective(s), activities in the</i></li> </ul>   |
| MEMBERS<br>NUMBER OF EXPERTS<br>REQUIRED<br>LINK WITH CEPOL<br>STRATEGY, ANNUAL   | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering the areas identified in the module's knowledge landscape on the one hand and facilitating an equal division of reviewing and updating of the module's content.</li> <li><i>References to the CEPOL Strategic Goal(s) and Strategic Objective(s), activities in the Annual Work Programme, and Annual Budget</i></li> <li>CEPOL Strategy Plan Strategic Goal 1.5 Developing further and easier access to e-Learning systems and all underlying activities</li> </ul>   |
| MEMBERS<br>NUMBER OF EXPERTS<br>REQUIRED<br>LINK WITH CEPOL<br>STRATEGY, ANNUAL<br>WORK PROGRAMME                                       | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering the areas identified in the module's knowledge landscape on the one hand and facilitating an equal division of reviewing and updating of the module's content.</li> <li><i>References to the CEPOL Strategic Goal(s) and Strategic Objective(s), activities in the Annual Work Programme, and Annual Budget</i></li> <li>CEPOL Strategy Plan Strategic Goal 1.5 Developing further and easier access to e-Learning systems and all underlying activities 1.5.1 – 1.5.4, Budget line 3130.</li> </ul>  |
| MEMBERS<br>NUMBER OF EXPERTS<br>REQUIRED<br>LINK WITH CEPOL<br>STRATEGY, ANNUAL<br>WORK PROGRAMME<br>AND BUDGET<br>OVERALL OBJECTIVE(S) | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering the areas identified in the module's knowledge landscape on the one hand and facilitating an equal division of reviewing and updating of the module's content.</li> <li><i>References to the CEPOL Strategic Goal(s) and Strategic Objective(s), activities in the Annual Work Programme, and Annual Budget</i></li> <li>CEPOL Strategy Plan Strategic Goal 1.5 Developing further and easier access to e-Learning systems and all underlying activities 1.5.1 – 1.5.4, Budget line 3130.</li> <li>SMART (specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, timely) objective(s)</li> </ul> |
| MEMBERS<br>NUMBER OF EXPERTS<br>REQUIRED<br>LINK WITH CEPOL<br>STRATEGY, ANNUAL<br>WORK PROGRAMME<br>AND BUDGET                         | <ul> <li><i>features and specific area of expertise required</i></li> <li>4 content experts from the Member States in the domain of cybercrime and more specifically in institution building, prevention, investigation, cybercrime forensics and case presentation.</li> <li>1 expert from the private sector.</li> <li>WB members will work together with representatives from relevant EU Agencies Europol, Eurojust and ENISA.</li> <li><i>Reasoning for the number proposed</i></li> <li>Number of required experts from Member States is 4, covering the areas identified in the module's knowledge landscape on the one hand and facilitating an equal division of reviewing and updating of the module's content.</li> <li><i>References to the CEPOL Strategic Goal(s) and Strategic Objective(s), activities in the Annual Work Programme, and Annual Budget</i></li> <li>CEPOL Strategy Plan Strategic Goal 1.5 Developing further and easier access to e-Learning systems and all underlying activities 1.5.1 – 1.5.4, Budget line 3130.</li> </ul>  |

# CEPOL – Establishing the Working Group for the Cybercrime Online Learning Module

| GROUP            | documents with chapters, glossary and self-test items of the<br>module, documented with justification in the project space on the<br>LMS by six weeks after the end of the second WG meeting for<br>adoption by Governing Board Decision. |  |
|------------------|---|--|
| EXPECTED RESULTS | <ul> <li>Measurable and quantifiable output(s)</li> <li>1. Updated knowledge landscape of the cybercime online learning module with justification of applied modifications;</li> </ul>  |  |
|                  | <ol><li>Content documents for each of the topics identified in the<br/>knowledge landscape, consisting of:</li></ol>  |  |
|                  | a. One chapter per topic consisting of texts, tables<br>and example pictures/photos/images further<br>contextualising the content;  |  |
|                  | b. List of external links for suggested further elaboration by users;   |  |
|                  | c. If applicable, high resolution pictures with approval of usage by the rightful owner;  |  |
|                  | d. Sets of minimally 25 true/false items covering the content of each of the topics;  |  |
|                  | 3. Updated list of glossary items;  |  |
|                  | 4. Version history, authors and reviewers of each of the content documents must be identified.  |  |

## CEPOL – Establishing the Working Group for the Cybercrime Online Learning Module

## Estimated budget

| ESTIMATED BUDGET FOR<br>THE WHOLE PERIOD   | Description / itemisation                                      | Estimated cost (€) |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Number of meeting days:<br>(max number of meetings)  | 2 meetings, each 4 days  |                    |
| Total flight costs:<br>(max €564 per flight)   | 5 experts, 2 meetings (return flight €450)                     | 4,500              |
| Accommodation costs:<br>(based on the relevant max hotel<br>ceiling and 75% of the Daily<br>Subsistence Allowance applicable<br>to the EU Officials) | Based on NPIA pricing (£51.00 p/night):<br>8 nights, 5 experts | 2,500              |
| Transportation:<br>(max €50 per day per participant<br>for internal transportation, or €0.22<br>per km by car)                                       | Airport, dinner  | 1,700              |
| Equipment:<br>(meeting rooms, printing, technical<br>equipment, other meeting related<br>costs)  | N.A. Provided by NPIA and CEPOL                                | 0                  |
| Other costs:<br>(guests of WG Chairs, etc.)  | Meals, daily meeting room refreshments,<br>dinner              | 2,000              |
|  | Total:   | 10,700             |

## Action plan

|                        | Intervention Logic   | Objectively Verifiable Indicators   |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Overall<br>Objective   | Goal 1: The CEPOL network functions<br>as a European law enforcement<br>education platform on the highest<br>level of international excellence.  | Availability of online learning module about<br>crisis management, in accordance with<br>contemporary learning and training<br>standards, available to all European law<br>enforcement officers registered to CEPOL's e-<br>Net.  |
| Specific<br>Objectives | CEPOL Strategy Plan Strategic<br>Objective 1.5 Developing further and<br>easier access to e-Learning systems<br>and all underlying activities 1.5.1 –<br>1.5.4.  | <ol> <li>LMS is applied for hosting of the online<br/>learning module for which the working<br/>group develops its content (activities 1.5.1,<br/>1.5.4);</li> <li>Working group duration allows for update<br/>of the module's content based on outcomes<br/>of the module evaluation (activity 1.5.3).</li> </ol> |
| Expected<br>Results    | <ol> <li>Updated knowledge landscape of<br/>the Cybercime online learning<br/>module with justification of<br/>applied modifications;</li> <li>Content documents for each of the<br/>topics identified in the knowledge<br/>landscape, consisting of:         <ul> <li>a. One chapter per topic consisting<br/>of texts, tables and example<br/>pictures/photos/images further<br/>contextualising the content;</li> <li>List of external links for<br/>suggested further elaboration by<br/>users;</li> <li>If applicable, high resolution<br/>pictures with approval of usage<br/>by the rightful owner;</li> <li>Sets of minimally 25 true/false<br/>items covering the content of<br/>each of the topics;</li> </ul> </li> <li>Updated list of glossary items;</li> <li>Version history, authors and<br/>reviewers of each of the content<br/>documents must be identified.</li> </ol> | Content of the online learning module is<br>approved by Governing Board Decision.   |

# CEPOL – Establishing the Working Group for the Cybercrime Online Learning Module

| Activities | 1  | Reviewed and updated Knowledge   | 1. | Meeting room, brainstorm/mind map   |
|------------|----|--|----|---|
| Activities | 1. | Landscape (meeting 1);   | 1. | material, dedicated online project space on<br>the LMS;   |
|            | 2. | Appointment of authors and<br>reviewers for the elaboration of the<br>Knowledge Landscape topics,<br>glossary (meeting 1);   | 2. | Meeting room, project space on the LMS;   |
|            | 3. | First updated versions of<br>elaborated Knowledge Landscape<br>topic documents and glossary for<br>reviewers (meeting 1);  | 3. | Meeting room, laptops, Internet access,<br>project space, content resources for<br>reference by experts;          |
|            | 4. |  | 4. | Computers, Internet access, project space for<br>distance working, content resources for<br>reference by experts; |
|            | 5. | Pools of updated true/false items<br>for each topic of the knowledge<br>landscape (meeting 2);   | 5. | Meeting room, laptops, Internet access, project space;  |
|            | 6. | Finalised consolidated documents<br>of knowledge landscape<br>documents for dissemination to<br>NCPs for distribution to Member<br>States' experts for comments and<br>suggestions (end of meeting 2); | 6. | Meeting room, laptops, Internet access,<br>project space;   |
|            | 7. | Collected comments and<br>suggestions from national experts<br>(end of meeting 2 + 5 wks)  | 7. | Computers, Internet access, project space for distance working;   |
|            | 8. | Comments and suggestions<br>processed by project group<br>members through project space<br>(end of meeting 2 + 8 wks)  | 8. | Computers, Internet access, project space for distance working;   |
|            | 9. | Proofread and edited content<br>documents prepared for approval<br>by Governing Board Decision (end<br>of meeting 2 + 9 wks).  | 9. | Computers, Internet access, project space for distance working.   |